

REMARKS

By this Amendment, Applicants amend claims 1, 23, and 45. Claim 1-3, 5, 18, 22-25, 27, 40, and 44-49 are pending.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 5, 18, 22-25, 27, 40, and 44-49 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tucker et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0049598, ("*Tucker*") in view of Mukai et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,884,870, ("*Mukai*"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Claim 1 is now directed to a method for editing content of a production data store comprising, *inter alia*, "generating a user view, for presentation to a user, from [a] modified shadow data store and [a] core data store, by combining content of said modified shadow data store with content of said core data store to produce a temporary image that indicates content that may be moved from [a] development environment to [a] production environment."

Tucker discloses a content distribution system that utilizes caches. In particular, *Tucker* discloses that the content distribution system contains various servers connected in a network. (See *Tucker*, Figures 3 and 4.) *Tucker* discloses that content accessible over the network may be maintained in an Internet Content Server or cached in a partially compressed form in another server such as a Web Server. (See *Tucker*, ¶¶0035-0038.) Once a user requests content, the content is either supplied from the Internet Content Server or from a cache in the Web Server. (*Tucker*, ¶¶0035-0038.) The compressed content stored in the Web Server's cache is never written back to the Internet Content Server. Instead, the cache is merely a redundant storage location for speeding up the delivery of the content to a user. (See *Tucker*, Abstract.) Therefore,

Tucker merely teaches the use of caches to assist in the delivery of content and not “generating a user view, for presentation to a user, from [a] modified shadow data store and [a] core data store, by combining content of said modified shadow data store with content of said core data store to produce a temporary image that indicates content that may be moved from [a] development environment to [a] production environment,” as recited in claim 1.

Furthermore, *Mukai* fails to cure the deficiencies of *Tucker*. *Mukai* is directed to a data processing apparatus and image display apparatus connected via a wireless connection. (See *Mukai*, Fig. 3.) *Mukai* discloses methods for continued use of the data processing apparatus and image display apparatus even if the wireless connection is severed. (See *Mukai*, col. 2, ll. 43-50.) *Mukai*, however, fails to disclose using a production data store, core data store, and shadow data store in production and development environments. Instead, *Mukai* merely teaches redundant connections when a wireless connection is severed. Thus, *Mukai* also fails to disclose at least “generating a user view, for presentation to a user, from [a] modified shadow data store and [a] core data store, by combining content of said modified shadow data store with content of said core data store to produce a temporary image that indicates content that may be moved from [a] development environment to [a] production environment.”

Since *Tucker* and *Mukai* fail to teach or suggest at least this element, even if they were properly combinable (which they are not), the combination would still fail to teach or disclose all the features recited in claim 1. Claims 2, 3, 5, 18, and 22 depend from claim 1 and, thus, are also patentable over *Tucker* and *Mukai* for at least the same reasons described above as well as for their additional recitations.

Further, claim 23 is directed to a data processing system for editing content of a production data store comprising, *inter alia*, “generating means for generating a user view for presentation to a user, from [a] modified shadow data store and [a] core data store, by combining content of said modified shadow data store with content of said core data store to produce a temporary image that indicates content that may be moved from [a] development environment to [a] production environment.”

Claim 45 is directed to an article for editing content of a production data store comprising, *inter alia*, “code means in the medium for generating a user view for presentation to a user, from [a] modified shadow data store and [a] core data store, by combining content of said modified shadow data store with content of said core data store to produce a temporary image that indicates content that may be moved from [a] development environment to [a] production environment.”

For reasons similar to those described above, *Tucker* and *Mukai* fail to teach or suggest these elements as recited in claims 23 and 45. Accordingly, claims 23 and 45 are also patentable over *Tucker* and *Mukai*. Claims 24, 25, 27, 40, and 44 depend from claim 23 and, thus, are also patentable over *Tucker* and *Mukai* for at least the same reasons described above as well as for their additional recitations. Claims 46-49 depend from claim 45 and, thus, are also patentable over *Tucker* and *Mukai* for at least the same reasons described above as well as for their additional recitations.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge
any additional required fees to our deposit account 50-2961.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 30, 2005

By: 

Donald Min
Reg. No. 47,796